Update on Mpox: a brief narrative review
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SUMMARY
Mpx (formerly Monkeypox), a neglected tropical disease once confined to Central and West Africa, emerged as a global epidemic outbreak in May, 2022 with 87,529 cases reported as of May, 23, 2023. It predominantly affected men (96.2%) who have sex with men (84-100%), although other transmission routes have been reported, including occupational exposure and vertical transmission. Concomitant HIV infection has been recorded in 21-46.9% and pre-exposure prophylaxis against HIV infection has been reported in 11-57% of published cases. The current outbreak clinical presentation differs from endemic cases with prodromal symptoms that could be absent: the number of lesions is generally low, with skin lesions predominantly localised in the ano-genital areas and frequent lesions present in different stages of progression (i.e., asynchronous). Asymptomatic Mpx infection can occur in 1.8-6.5% of at-risk subjects. People living with HIV with severe immunodeficiency (less than 100 CD4+ lymphocytes per microliter) are at risk of more severe clinical manifestations and death. According to a systematic review and meta-analysis, the hospitalisation rate is around 6% and the observed case-fatality rate is less than 0.1%. Tecovirimat is the drug of choice for treating severe cases although there is no evidence of efficacy from randomised controlled trials. Immunization with a live non-replicating vaccine (JYNNEOS) effectively reduces the disease’s incidence.
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INTRODUCTION
Human monkeypox (hMpx) infection, once considered a zoonotic disease endemic in West and Central Africa, emerged with a global outbreak in traditionally non-endemic countries in May 2022, raising global public health concern and was declared by World Health Organization (WHO) a Public Health Emergency of International concern (PHEIC) on July 23, 2022. Heterogeneity in the adopted case definition and in the contact tracing indication between WHO and the European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control (ECDC) has been previously noted [1]. As of May 23 2023, a total of 87,529 laboratory-confirmed cases have been reported to WHO from 111 countries across all 6 WHO regions (WHO) [2]. This article provides a useful and updated brief narrative review of the disease.

METHODS
We conducted a comprehensive web-based literature research using PubMed Medline and Scopus in order to identify research studies, case report, case series, meta-analysis dealing with the recent outbreak of Mpx (formerly known as Monkeypox) published between May 1, 2022 and May 31, 2023. We used the terms “Mpx”, “Monkeypox”
and the two names associated with “transmission”, “clinical manifestations”, “diagnosis”, “treatment”, “vaccine”, “Jynneos vaccine”, “tecovicirat”, “cidofovir”. The restriction to English language was applied. This is a narrative review and articles were chosen according to their relevance as judged by the two senior authors (SA, AJ R-M).

**VIROLOGY**

Monkeypox virus is a large (400 nm X 250 nm) double-stranded DNA virus belonging to the Poxviridae subfamily Cordopoxvirinae, with a brick shape. It was initially described in Denmark in 1958 during a smallpox-like outbreak in captive imported macaque monkeys [3]. Although small rodents harbor the virus in Africa, the animal reservoir is still unknown [4]. Mpx virus was originally distinguished into two genetic clades: Clade 1 (or Congo Basin) observed in Cameroon, Congo, the Central African Republic and the Democratic Republic of Congo characterised by a case-fatality rate (CFR) of 11%, and Clade 2 (or West African clade) reported in Ivory Coast, Liberia, Ghana, Nigeria and Sierra Leone with a CFR of 2-3% [5]. The pandemic virus isolated in 2022 was initially considered to belong to Clade 3 but the newly adopted nomenclature by WHO currently recognize the following clades: Clade I (former Clade 1), Clade Iia (former Clade 2) and Clade Iib lineage B1 (the current circulating hMpox) [6]. In addition, the catalytic enzyme APOBEC3 (Apolipoprotein B Editing Complex), a deaminase that converts cytidine to thymidine and guanosine to adenine, has been postulated to be responsible for the genetic evolution and adaptation to humans and therefore capable of the observed sustained transmission [7].

**EPIDEMIOLOGY**

The epidemic curve showed a substantial decline from the global peak of 7,576 cases reported on August 8 2022, with a current average of 110 cases observed weekly. Globally, ten countries account for 84.2% of all cases: the United States of America (3,800), Brazil (10,941), Spain (7,551), France (4,146), Colombia (4,090), Mexico (4,017), Peru (3,691) and Canada (1,484) [2]. The ongoing outbreak affects mainly male subjects (96.2%) with a median age of 34 years (IQR: 29-41). Men who have sex with men (MSM) are disproportionately affected representing about 84% of all cases for whom this information was available. In the case profile of the WHO, 51.9% were people living with HIV (PLWH) although in different case series the prevalence of PLWH ranged between 35% to 41% [8-11]. The prevalence of HIV infection is less than 5% among women diagnosed with Mpx [12]. Many patients infected with the Mpx virus are on pre-exposure prophylaxis to prevent HIV acquisition (i.e., 11-57%). Transmission of the Mpx virus can occur through direct contact with the lesion or the fluids contained within during intimate contact between sexual partners [4, 13]; although the virus can be isolated from semen and rectal fluids it is still controversial whether hMpx should be considered a sexually transmitted infection (STI) or not [14-17]. Viral DNA has been detected in skin lesions, oropharynx, saliva, urine, semen, blood and stool of patients infected during the 2022 outbreak [18-20]. Viral loads (VL) were significantly higher from skin lesions and rectal or anal samples (median Ct 19-20) than oropharyngeal, blood, urine and semen samples. Replication competent virus can be isolated in over 70% of samples with VL of 6.5 log10 copies per mL (corresponding approximately to a Ct value of 26) [18-20]. A decrease of VL is observed over time with the longest median time to viral clearance longer for skin lesions (25 days) compared with other body locations (16 days for oropharyngeal and rectal samples, 13 days for semen samples) [18]. A few cases of nosocomial transmission of the Mpx virus to health-care workers have been described as the consequence of needle-stick injury or contact with contaminated surfaces [21]. However, widespread surface contamination has been detected in hospital bedrooms and bathrooms occupied by subjects affected by Mpx including samples from areas deemed to have been not directly touched suggesting possible contamination by droplets [22]. In addition, an outbreak of Mpx in a piercing and tattoo establishment with an attack rate of 37% has been observed in Spain [23]. In the current outbreak cases of Mpx observed in children and adolescents were rarely reported in 0.3% of the USA with exposure in the household setting [24]. Similarly cases described among
pregnant women were rare, with 23 cases observed in the USA between May and November 2022 [25]. However, neonatal and breastfeeding transmission has been described in two cases [26, 27].

The Serial interval (SI) (i.e., the time between symptom onset in the primary and secondary case) had a median value of 9.78 days (IQR: 8.9-10.67) in the current outbreak similar to what has been observed for Clade I; however, the incubation period (IP) for Clade IIb was shorter (pooled estimate of 8.26 days) [28].

**CLINICAL MANIFESTATIONS**

The 2022 Clade IIb outbreak presented unique characteristics that differed from the previous description of the clinical disease [8-11, 29-37]. The prodromal symptoms could be absent: lesions are generally low, with skin lesions predominantly localised in the ano-genital areas and frequently lesions present in different stages of progression (i.e., asynchronous). A skin rash is reported in 90-100% of patients, with localisation in the anogenital area in over 70% of cases (Table 1). The face is involved in 25-39%, the trunk in 21-57%, and palms and soles in 10-28.7% [8-11, 29-35]. The anal or perianal area involving the anorectal mucosa is frequently observed and is responsible for proctitis in 14-36% of cases [8, 10, 11, 29, 30, 32]. Lesions on the lips, tongue and tonsils are reported in 7-14% of cases resulting in difficulty swallowing. Morphology of skin lesions has been reported to be papular (21-31%) [11, 29, 35], vesicular (26-59%) [11, 29, 31, 35], pustular (33-90%) [29, 31, 35]. The number of skin lesions reported was less than 20-25 in 82-88% of subjects, and cases with more than 100 lesions are scarce (1-13%) [8, 31, 36]. Single skin lesion are reported in about 11-12% of subjects with Mpox and, when localised on the genitals, represent a risk of misdiagnosis with syphilis chancres [8, 10, 11, 38]. A purpuric generalised rash has also been observed especially among immunocompromised patients [39]. Fever preceding or concomitant with the skin eruption has been reported in 52-72% of subjects [8-11, 29-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author, year, reference</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Type of study</th>
<th>N° pts/ Gender (%)/ Age (median)/ MSM (%)</th>
<th>HIV positive (%)/ Skin rash N (%)</th>
<th>Site of skin lesions (%)</th>
<th>Description of rash (%)</th>
<th>Fever (%)</th>
<th>Lymphadenopathy (%)</th>
<th>Proctitis or anal pain (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Thornhill et al. 2022 [10]</td>
<td>Multicountry</td>
<td>Case series</td>
<td>528/M (99%)/38y/98</td>
<td>218 (41)</td>
<td>500 (95)</td>
<td>Anogenital (73); face (25); trunk or limbs (55); palms or soles (10)</td>
<td>330 (62)</td>
<td>295 (56)</td>
<td>75 (14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patel et al. 2022 [8]</td>
<td>UK</td>
<td>Retrospective observational</td>
<td>197/M (100)/38/99.5</td>
<td>70 (35.5)</td>
<td>197 (100)</td>
<td>Genitals (56.4); anus or perianal area (41.6); face (36); trunk (35.5); hands/feet (28.4)</td>
<td>122 (61.9)</td>
<td>114 (57.9)</td>
<td>71 (36)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philpott et al. 2022 [9]</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Cohort</td>
<td>1195/M (98.7)/35/NR</td>
<td>136/334 (41)</td>
<td>1004 (100)</td>
<td>Genitals (46.4); arms (39.6); perianal (31.3); trunk (21.7); face (38.4); soles or feet (10.7)</td>
<td>596 (63.3)</td>
<td>545 (58.5)</td>
<td>NR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harrison et al. 2023 [30]</td>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>Cohort</td>
<td>346/M (98)/37/84.1</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>314 (90.8)</td>
<td>Genital (46.9); anal (36.7); palmar (26.9); face (34); plantar (16)</td>
<td>166 (51.9)</td>
<td>204 (63.7)</td>
<td>102 (32.9)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Continue >>>
Lymphadenopathy especially in the inguinal area is observed in 37-85% of patients [8-11, 29-35]. Concurrent sexually transmitted infections have been reported in 17-29% of subjects diagnosed with Mpx in the published cohorts [8-11, 29-35, 40]. Ocular manifestations including conjunctivitis, blepharitis, subconjunctival nodules, keratitis and corneal ulcers are described in 1-21% representing a potentially sight-threatening complication of Mpx [8, 41-43]. Rare but potentially life-threatening complications such as encephalitis, transverse myelitis and myocarditis have also been reported [41, 42, 44, 45]. In addition, people living with HIV with uncontrolled HIV infection and low CD4+ lymphocytes count, are prone to have severe Mpx infection with necrotising skin lesions (54% vs 7%), lung involvement (29% vs 0), secondary infection or sepsis (44% vs 9%) compared with those with less immunosuppression [46, 47]. Finally, an important issue is the existence of asymptomatic subjects with Mpx infection [20]. A recent meta-analysis that also included a study from Cameroon reported a pooled prevalence of asymptomatic Mpx infection of 9.10% (95% CI: 1.27-22.17) [48]; however, the prevalence in studies conducted during the current outbreak was as low as 1.79% (95% CI: 0.70-4.50) and as high as 6.50% (95% CI: 3.84-10.80) [48].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author, year, reference</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Type of study</th>
<th>N° pts/ Gender (%)/ Age (median)/ MSM (%)</th>
<th>HIV positive (%)</th>
<th>Skin rash N (%)</th>
<th>Site of skin lesions (%)</th>
<th>Description of rash (%)</th>
<th>Fever</th>
<th>Lymphadenopathy</th>
<th>Proctitis or anal pain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Van Ewijk et al. 2023 [29]</td>
<td>The Netherlands</td>
<td>Epidemiologic surveillance</td>
<td>1000/M (99)/37/95</td>
<td>387 (21)</td>
<td>914 (92)</td>
<td>Genital (51); perianal (33); face (34); trunk (38); limbs (51)</td>
<td>Maculopapular (31); vesicular (59); pustular (46)</td>
<td>521 (53)</td>
<td>371 (37)</td>
<td>179 (18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tarin-Vicente et al. 2022 [11]</td>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>Multicentre prospective observational</td>
<td>181/M (97)/37/92</td>
<td>72 (40)</td>
<td>181 (100)</td>
<td>Genital (55); perianal (36); trunk (57); hand and feet (60)</td>
<td>Papular (21); vesicular (26); pustular (90)</td>
<td>131 (72)</td>
<td>153 (85)</td>
<td>45 (25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inigo Martinez et al. 2022 [32]</td>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>Cohort</td>
<td>508/M (99)/35/93</td>
<td>225 (44.3)</td>
<td>498 (98)</td>
<td>Anogenital or perianal area (72.1); face (35.5); palms or plants (24.9)</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>324 (63.8)</td>
<td>311 (61.2)</td>
<td>81 (15.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Català et al. 2022 [31]</td>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>Prospective cross-sectional</td>
<td>185/M (100)/38.7^/99</td>
<td>78 (42)</td>
<td>185 (100)</td>
<td>Genital (53); face (39); perianal (34); thorax (25); plantar (12); palmar (6)</td>
<td>Maculopapular (55.1); vesicular (29); pustular (75)</td>
<td>100 (54)</td>
<td>104 (56)</td>
<td>40 (22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hoffmann et al. 2023 [34]</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>Multicentre retrospective</td>
<td>546/M (100)/39/100</td>
<td>256 (46.9)</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>Genital (49.9); anal (47.9); trunk or extremities (37.5)</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>272 (53.2)</td>
<td>213 (42.6)</td>
<td>NR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mailhe et al. 2023 [35]</td>
<td>France</td>
<td>Cohort</td>
<td>264/M (99)/35/95</td>
<td>73 (29)</td>
<td>258 (98)</td>
<td>Genital (54); perianal (40); face (35); limbs (48)</td>
<td>Vesicular (57); papular (34); pustular (33)</td>
<td>171 (68)</td>
<td>174 (69)</td>
<td>45 (18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Girometti et al. 2022 [33]</td>
<td>UK</td>
<td>Retrospective observational analysis</td>
<td>54/M (100)/41/100</td>
<td>13 (24)</td>
<td>54 (100)</td>
<td>Genital (61); perianal (44); face (20); limbs (90)</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>31 (57)</td>
<td>30 (56)</td>
<td>NR</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

^ mean age; M, male; MSM, men who have sex with men; UK, United Kingdom; NR, not reported.
ysis, the hospitalisation rate during the current outbreak was 5.8% [49]. The main reasons for hospital admission are severe proctitis, disease localised to upper respiratory tract affecting swallowing, secondary bacterial infections, ocular or periorcular disease, and disseminated cutaneous disease [35,41,42,49,50]. During the 2022 outbreak, the case fatality rate has been less than 0.1% (123/85937) outside Africa [2].

## Diagnosis

The diagnosis of Mpox should be suspected in patients with an unexplained rash, especially when lesions are present in the genital (penis, vagina) or anorectal area with or without flu-like symptoms after high-risk exposure [8-11]. Confirmation of case should be obtained by nucleic acid amplification testing (NAAT) by using real-time or conventional polymerase chain reaction (PCR) directed to pan-orthopoxvirus or Mpox-specific [4, 18, 19, 51, 52]. Different targets showing different sensitivities and detection limits have been used and the WHO interim guidance stipulates that diagnostic PCR should include positive controls at low concentration [53]. The most frequently used targets for the Mpox virus included B7R, F3L, G2R, and B6R which could detect 2-20 copies/reaction of DNA virus [52]. A sampling of skin lesions is the best way to achieve a confirmed diagnosis of Mpox infection because RT-PCR can detect a VL of 2 Log magnitude higher than in other samples [18] and the percentage of positivity is higher (88%) in comparison with samples from the throat (77%), anus (71%), blood (29%), urine (225) and semen (54%) [19].

## Treatment

The clinical management of Mpox infection relies on using antivirals active against the virus, supportive care (especially for pain relief) and antibiotics for bacterial complications [54, 55]. The efficacy of the three potential antivirals available (i.e., tecovirimat, cidofovir and brincidofovir) has not been evaluated in randomized trials. Tecovirimat (Tpoxx) is considered the drug of choice for severe illness (i.e., encephalitis, eye infection, severe proctitis, disseminated skin infection) or for those considered at risk of developing severe disease (immunocompromised subjects, children younger than eight years and pregnant women) [54-57]. The drug inhibits the VP37 protein preventing the formation of egress-competent virions. Tecovirimat is available either as an oral or parenteral formulation and it is administered at a dose of 600 mg two or three times (for those with weight > 120 kg) daily for at least 14 days. In the most extensive series reported, 549 patients were treated in the USA under the expanded access investigative new drug protocol [56]. The most frequent underlying medical condition was HIV infection (46.3%) and the median interval from symptoms onset and the receipt of the first tecovirimat dose was seven days. Among 255 patients with available data, the median time of subjective improvement after starting treatment was three days [56]. Adverse events were reported in 3.5% (12/340) of patients including headache, nausea, visual disturbance and one hospitalisation for psychiatric reasons [56]. Cidofovir (not approved for infections caused by Orthopoxviruses) inhibits the incorporation of deoxycytidine triphosphate into viral DNA and therefore terminates the viral DNA elongation [50, 54, 57]. It was used when tecovirimat was not available or in combination with tecovirimat for patients with severe Mpox and as a topical treatment after starting treatment was three days [56].

Adverse events were reported in 3.5% (12/340) of patients including headache, nausea, visual disturbance and one hospitalisation for psychiatric reasons [56]. Cidofovir (not approved for infections caused by Orthopoxviruses) inhibits the incorporation of deoxycytidine triphosphate into viral DNA and therefore terminates the viral DNA elongation [50, 54, 57]. It was used when tecovirimat was not available or in combination with tecovirimat for patients with severe Mpox and as a topical treatment after starting treatment was three days [56].

Adverse events were reported in 3.5% (12/340) of patients including headache, nausea, visual disturbance and one hospitalisation for psychiatric reasons [56]. Cidofovir (not approved for infections caused by Orthopoxviruses) inhibits the incorporation of deoxycytidine triphosphate into viral DNA and therefore terminates the viral DNA elongation [50, 54, 57]. It was used when tecovirimat was not available or in combination with tecovirimat for patients with severe Mpox and as a topical treatment after starting treatment was three days [56].

## Vaccination

Two vaccines are recommended for pre-exposure and post-exposure prophylaxis (within four days after a known or presumed exposure). ACAM2000, a replication-competent smallpox vaccine has been licensed in the USA for years to prevent smallpox and was made available for monkeypox infection under an expanded-access investigational new drug protocol. The JYNNEOS (IM-VANEX) is a two-dose orthopoxvirus live, a non-replicating vaccine licensed by FDA and the Euro.
European Medicines Agency (EMA) to prevent smallpox or monkeypox infection [58, 59]. It was initially licensed with a subcutaneous schedule administration. However, due to a shortage in vaccine supply, several countries have authorised intradermal administration (which requires one-fifth of the volume of the subcutaneous route). A study conducted in the USA showed that monkeypox incidence was 9.6 times as high among unvaccinated subjects compared with those who had received two vaccine doses and 7.4 times as high compared with those who had received only the first dose [59]. Another study conducted in Israel using a single subcutaneous dose of the modified vaccinia Ankara (MV-BN, Bavarian Nordic) showed adjusted vaccine effectiveness of 86% (95% CI: 55-95) [60]. A similar protective result (78% effectiveness against symptomatic Mpox) at least after 14 days following a single-dose was reported in an observational study conducted in the UK [61]. However, breakthrough infections have been observed sometimes with severe manifestations occurring shortly after vaccination [62, 63]. Van Ewijk and coworkers in a cohort of 1000 cases from the Netherlands estimated a protective effect of the first-generation smallpox vaccine against moderate/severe Mpox of 58% (95% CI:17-78%) [29]. Few cases of reinfection during the current outbreak have been reported [64, 65] but in an elegant study Adamo et al. demonstrated long-term maintenance of immunological memory decades after smallpox vaccination as well as a robust T-cell response following Mpox infection (especially after mild disease) [66].

**CONCLUSION**

Although there is evidence of declining trends of Mpox cases worldwide [67] there is the need to maintain a high index of suspicion about the disease because of the probable persistence of low-level virus circulation with possible occasional outbreaks [4]. In a short period, the scientific community’s response allowed the complete characterisation of the epidemiology, clinical manifestations, diagnosis, treatment and prevention of the current outbreak outside Africa [68]. However, several unanswered questions and knowledge gaps remain about this re-emerging neglected disease representing a global challenge for researchers.
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