INTRODUCTION

Tularemia is a serious infectious zoonosis caused by a small, pleomorphic, non-motile, nonsporulating aerobic, gram-negative bacillus, *Francisella tularensis*. The infection can develop after contact with infected animals or infected arthropod vectors (especially, ticks). Tularemia is endemic in many regions in North America, Scandinavia and Japan where the route of infection depends mostly on contact with infected animals and ticks, however, in Turkey the most prominent and main route of infection is by consuming non-chlorinated drinking water [1, 2]. The most frequent form is the ulceroglandular form which is characterized by a papuloerythematous ulcer, with a necrosis in the middle portion of the bite site, fever and regional painful lymphadenopathy, which is usually induced by means of a vector. Significant cellulitis or lymphangitis may not be observed if a bacterial superinfection does not accompany the disease.

In the present case, our aim was to report a patient with facial cellulitis that developed due to tularemia where a regional lymphadenopathy was not observed, and which was accompanied with severe facial edema, rash and increased temperature after a tick-bite on hairy skin. Our patient presented with one of the very rare forms of tularemia in which the definitive diagnosis was made possible only via serology. The condition induced by a tick bite was considered a valuable case due to the presence of atypical dermal findings such as lymphocytic cellulitis and its route of transmission.

Our patient is one of the rare tularemia cases in medical literature with an atypical dermatological finding such as facial cellulitis.
A 49-year-old female patient referred to our hospital due to complaints, including a rash on the forehead, slight facial swelling and headache. The patient's medical background revealed that a tick was extracted from her scalp at a state hospital approximately 6 days before and that the patient experienced headache, swelling on the forehead and fatigue a day after the procedure. A course of amoxicillin clavulanate and gentamicin had been administered.

Physical examination of the patient demonstrated a rash with a diameter of approximately 10 cm on the forehead; however there were no other significant findings, except for a fever that continued for two days. Laboratory studies were otherwise normal, stated as follows: WBC 4.1/\text{mm}^3, \text{Hb} 12.6\ \text{g/L}, \text{PLT} 97.000\ \text{mm}^3, \text{C-reactive protein (CRP)} 1.58 (0-5). The patient was preliminarily diagnosed with early stage Lyme disease (erythema chronicum migrans) and cellulitis (Figure 1) and therapy with oral doxycycline 2x100 mg and intravenous ceftriaxone 2x1 g was initiated. The serology of Lyme Disease (ELISA) and \textit{Francisella tularensis} (Tube agglutination), and a buffy coat study carried out for \textit{Ehrlichia chaffeensis} were found negative.

An excisional biopsy sample was taken from the scalp where the tick adhered to the hairy skin and from the dermal region where a rash and an ulcerated lesion were identified. The result of the biopsy indicated an ulceration within the entire dermis at the center of the epithelium, a vast number of interstitial perivascular lymphocytes that extended down to the deep layer of the dermis and a small number of eosinophil-sporadic giant cells (Figures 2 and 3). Under therapy the patient's fever subsided a day later and vancomycin 2 x 1 g was added because of the development of a diffused facial edema and rash on the forehead. Unfortunately, the patient's complaints did not abate, and therefore the medications were withdrawn on the tenth day. Eventually, empiric streptomycin was initiated due to the preliminary diagnosis of tularemia. The patient's complaints including headache and facial edema began to wane in the first week of therapy and \textit{Francisella tularensis} IgM 18 U/mL (>10 U/mL) was determined three weeks after the tick bite while tube agglutination remained negative.

**Figure 1** - Facial cellulitis associated with \textit{Francisella tularensis}.

**Figure 2** - Excisional biopsy taken from hairy areas of the skin revealed ulceration in the epithelium, inflammatory cell infiltration extending from the entire dermis to the fatty tissue.
Streptomycin administration was interrupted at week 2 due to vertigo which may be a sign of drug toxicity. However, no relapse was observed in the state of the patient after a month’s follow-up.

**DISCUSSION**

Tularemia, a rare zoonotic infectious disease, can be seen in Turkey and sometimes may lead to epidemic outbreaks. Despite previous reports of epidemics seen in the Marmara and Western Black Sea regions, many tularemia cases have been reported from other regions of the country as well [2]. The clinical form of all the known cases was induced by the consumption of infected drinking water; with all the patients being diagnosed with oropharyngeal tularemia [3]. The vectorial features of ticks are well known, yet the only cases reported in our country have been two tick-related, ulceroglandular tularemia patients diagnosed in the Yozgat Province [2]. Our patient’s diagnosis was confirmed by serological and/or molecular studies even though the clinical condition had developed after a tick contact. Consequently, in addition to tularemia cases associated with the consumption of contaminated drinking water, it is vital to consider that tick-induced tularemia can also be detected, particularly in areas where the condition is endemic.

Diagnosis is challenging not only because the causative agent cannot be readily cultured for growth on a medium but also due to late results of serological tests. In patients with tularemia, specific antibodies develop within 2-3 weeks after inoculation and may persist for several years. Monitoring of antibody titers in serum during acute and convalescent phases is thus necessary to identify a tularemia infection. In our case, the ELISA test eventually proved to be positive after the preliminary and concomitant tube agglutination were reported to be negative. Recent studies showed that the sensitivity of ELISA was better than tube agglutination [4]. We suggest that the ELISA test is the most useful test in such highly suspicious conditions.

Although lymphadenomegaly was not part of the clinical presentation, the disease could still be categorized as ulceroglandular-type tularemia. Lymphadenopathy may not have been an entity due to previous use of gentamicin and doxycycline, in addition to the low virulence of the pathogen in question. Secondary dermal lesions, as part of systemic involvement and which can be observed in patients with tularemia, are dependent primarily on its route of entry into the host. Approximately 35-43% of tularemia cases present with secondary dermal lesions and these are more frequent in females than males [2]. Rash and dermal eruptions such as pustule, erythema nodosum, erythema multiform, acneiform lesions

**Figure 3** - Inflammatory cells consist of lymphocytes that display perivascular and interstitial distribution as well as eosinophils (Figure 3a). The lymphoid cells tend to show vascular wall infiltration without signs of fibrinoid necrosis in focal areas (Figure 3b). These findings with the clinical history in this case can be evaluated as an ulceroglandular type tularemia.
or hives (urticaria) may develop in all clinical forms of tularemia, especially in forms related to diffused maculopapular or vesiculopapular eruptions [(5)]. A small number of rare tularemia cases where cellulitis developed after contact with a tick are reported in literature [6, 7]. Our patient is one of these rare “cellulitis” cases in literature, induced by previous contact with a tick and diagnosed in accordance with clinical-pathological correlation.

This case was presented with the aim to emphasize the possibility of a rare combination of cellulitis and tularemia. We should be aware that the presentation of tularemia may have atypical features presenting with atypical dermal lesions; additionally we must bear in mind that cases may be associated with the consumption of contaminated drinking water, whereas this condition may show up in endemic regions where tick bite is the main source of disease.
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